

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-23 are pending and at issue in the instant case. In the Office Action mailed on January 30, 2007, the Examiner stated that the previous amendment was non-compliant because the figures contained reference characters not identified in the Brief Description of the Drawing section and because Figures 7 and 8 on page 28 of the specification were incorrect.

The Examiner also suggested the structures in claims 11 and 12 should be in larger font.

## **SUPPORT FOR THE AMENDMENTS**

The applicants thank the Examiner for clarifying the issues with the Brief Description of the Drawing section of the specification in a conversation with the undersigned representative on June 20, 2007. The applicants have amended description of Figures 1-3 and 6-17 to identify the reference characters. Support for the amendment can be found through out the specification as filed.

The applicants have amended the specification at page 23, line 31 to page 24, line 28 to correct for the references to FIGURES 7 and 8. The amendment corrects for typographical error, and the support for the amendment can be found in FIGURES 7 and 8 as filed.

The claims have been reiterated, and the structures in claims 11 and 12 have been reproduced in larger font as suggested by the Examiner.

Accordingly, no new matter has been added by way of this amendment and the entry thereof is respectfully requested.

**CONCLUSION**

Applicants believe that the claims of the instant application meet all of the conditions for patentability and are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, an early indication of the same is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,  
SHARAT SINGH

Dated: June 21, 2007

By: Narinder Banait/

Narinder S. Banait, Reg. No.: 43,482  
Fenwick & West LLP  
Silicon Valley Center  
801 California Street  
Mountain View, CA 94041  
Tel.: (650) 335-7818  
Fax.: (650) 938-5200